saisie	sujet	table	session	The collaborative work enabled with the method	
				What you like +	What you don't like -
CM	1	1	2	It's easy to work collaboratively	Difficult to agree in the selected cards
CM	2	1	2	immediate reviewing	maybe it can be difficult to make the game with people with different backgrounds
CM	3	1	2	The cards are good support to communicate with other members of the team. Rapid modification / iteration	If you move the table everything moves and you have to replace the cards / jewels
CM	1	2	2	great for triggering discussion. The terminology might need to be tightened. Capitalize might not work that well.	Potential for one person to dominate the interaction physically (but not completely)
CM	2	2	2	we shared many different ideas	we should take some roles so we could be more organized
CM	3	2	2	cards facilitate greatly the collaborative approach.	
CM	4	2	2	I like combination of different opinions of participants	
CM	1	2	3	échanges nombreux ; matériel (forte flexibilité dans la manipulation des différents éléments) a aidé à la collaboration	auto-régulation => en cas de désaccord, pas de moyen prévu pour aider à trouver des compromis
CM	2	2	3	la répartition des rôles ; le retour collectif	pas assez détaillé dans la répartition des rôles
CM	3	2	3	visualisation de la progression, des itérations	n'aide pas forcément à la collaboration quand les mécaniques de jeu sont machées dès la début
CM	1	2	4	worked well	
CM	2	2	4	the table and cards enhanced collaboration but for instance one person is writing on the card. Everyone can put a new card on the scenario.	
CM	3	2	4	absolutely	
CM	4	2	4	the method enhances brainstorming processes, deabtes, co-design…	

FM	1	1	1	Very productive, thought, provoking (providing?) , active participation of all members, understanding. The method promotes collaboration.	The method does not script collaboration. The collaboration depends on the dynamics of the group.
FM	2	1	1	I think the methos allows very well the collaboration between participants. But maybe more time is necessary.	
FM	3	1	1	Fats, fun with a small learning curve	
FM	1	2	1	Collaborative working method was nice	Because of my poor english it was somtime hard to follow the conversation
FM	2	2	1	discussion was intersting and friendly	
FM	3	2	1		IN 40' it was hard to have time to give arguments and made a real decision together. ->There was a leader
FM	4	2	1	stimulating collaboration	
FM	1	3	1	discussion / collaboration	
FM	2	3	1	a good way pour favoriser conception collaborative. Aspect ludique. Efficace pour une production rapide.	
FM	3	3	1	decision collaborative discuter tout en proposant de nouvelles idées collaboration à 3 TB	
FM	1	4	1	confront ideas in agp. Bounce ideas xx each other	amount of time for creating scenario wasn't made xx the beginig of the task (but was throughout task :))
FM	2	4	1	collaboration of ideas and knowledge from different disciplines to structure game	
FM	3	4	1	good for collab. Design of scenarios	misunderstanding: Trying to achieve group consensus over terms used in ScenLRPG
FM	4	4	1	working on groups of 4 was good: good discussion, everyone participating, everyone can see the board	could be problematic if there are several "wrong" personalities in the group. Conflict
NM	1	5	2	good discussion and idea exchange	
NM	2	5	2	plus de créativité et plius de débat	

NM	3	5	2	fun easy to move objects + posibility to draw extra lines and add colors to the sheet of paper	
NM	4	6	2	good xxx for exchange ideas and xxx knowledge from xxx sides of th field	
NM	5	6	2	very good- helped to see each participants point of view and thus come up with a collective work	
NM	6	6	2	good to develop team spirit	
NM	7	7	2	bien mais on peut aussi faire seule ce scénario de conception	
NM	8	7	2	le matériel (cartes, jetons) qui peuvent servir de support aux débats	
NM	9	7	2		
NM	10	8	2	face to face interactions made easier by the tangible nature of the system.	
NM	11	8	2		
NM	12	8	2	discussions simples grâce aux cartes, travail collaboratif, facile	on ne peut pas toujours tout décrire , ce qui fait que certaine idees "passent à la trappe" (mais est ce un problème de ce formalisme ?)
JPP	1	5	1	People are working together actively to produce the scenario	no
JPP	2	5	1	Effective debating, compromising, selection and implementation	the lack of some options in identifying processes
JPP	3	5	1	.open discussion .capacity to take the cards placed by the other	capapcity to take my cards from the other
JPP	4	5	1	We all participate in the creation process by bringing ideas and say what we think is nnot good at oher ideas	Maybe other person from the group did'nt try to express his/her ideas. Tou participate only if you want and you are engaged
JPP	1	6	1	. Exchange ideas . Dabate tour ideas	. If some one doesn't have the base knowledge of how to create a scenario => difficult to work

JPP	2	6	1	Exchange of ideas. Nothing is fixed, we can always alter a scenario	Nothing is fixed… Leack of communication from some people
JPP	3	6	1	Sharing ideas in different perspectives	-
JPP	4	6	1	The collaboration is fruitful	-
JPP	1	?	1	.De pouvoir échanger et travailler en groupe . De partager des idées . De prendre confiance	-
JPP	2	7	1	- émulation très intéressante - beaucoup d'idées sont sorties	Impossibilité de manipuler à plusieurs la même partie
JPP	3	7	1	- it allows to confrontate different points of view	-
JPP	1	8	1	- facilitate the work - exchange of knowledge - communicate - Ore efficient for team and individual learner	-
JPP	2	8	1	Working around the table with mus coworkers : emergence of the solution by speaking and interacting	-
JPP	3	8	1	L'activité collaborative est présente grâce à l'espace central de travail (la feuille servant de support)	Le sens de lecture impose presque de se trouver tous du même côté de la table pour voir la même représentation (limite le nombre de participants sur la version papier)