**«Assessment of experimentations »**

**When:** This document should be written at the end of an experimentation before data processing begins.

**Why:** It is used to assess of what happened during the experimentation and identify its strenghts and weaknesses. Writing just after the experimentation, it allows to note impressions about the experimentation.

**Inputs for data processing:** Writing this document facilitates data processing and the interpretation of the results.

**Inputs for writing thesis:** It will be used for the writing of the experimentation part of the manuscript and i twill indicate the limits of validity of the collected data.

**General informations on the experimentation**

* Name of the experimentation (refers to the name that used in the experimental protocol)
* Date and place of execution
* Name of the researcher(s) who performed the experimentation

**Description of the experimentation**

* Give a name that refers to what happened during the executing. It is a way of remembering what happened during it, when writing up the results.
* Indicate how the interactions with or among participants took place.
* Indicate any events that may have occured during the executing that could bias the results. *(e.g. the triggering of a fire alarm that stops the focus group)*.
* In relation to the objectives of the experimentation, make a summary of what the participant(s) said or did. Write a sentence or two for each questions or hypothesis of the experimentation.
* Indicate the innovative elements that are addressed in this executing and that will contribute to the creating of scientific knowledge.
* Note the relevance of the executing: according to the level of relevance or interest in the research question/hypothesis posed. Ratings range from 0 to 5: 0 not relevant to 5 very relevant. During the analysis, this notation allow the researcher to identify the most relevant data for writing the results.

**Assessment of the experimentation**

* Indicate the stages of the experimentation that went well and the experimental equipment that was well sized for the executing (i.e. the strenghts).
* Indicate the stages of the experimentation that did not got well and why. Also indicate the experimental material that posed problems and why (i.e. the weaknesses).
* Indicate areas for improvements of the experimentation.